

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_112882
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINING FACTORS OF BEHAVIORAL INTENTION TO USE QRIS AT TRADITIONAL MARKET IN PURWOKERTO
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The study is good and includes results that can be benefited from. I recommend accepting it for publication after making the attached notes. Best regards.: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- It must include 3 to 5 keywords- A sufficiently clear definition of the QRIS system must be added- Some of the references in the reference list were not referred to or used as guidance in the text of the research, such as 11-12-13-14- It must be clarified how to determine the study sample size, as well as specify the type of sample used and add the equation used to determine the sample size- The demographic characteristics of the study sample were not discussed in explanation, nor should the explanation after the table be discussed briefly- The word recommendations must be added in addition to the conclusion, so it becomes the conclusion and recommendations- It is necessary to arrange references in a scientific manner, either according to the order of the years or in alphabetical order	Okay
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	